

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**

SAMANTHA REASONER

**(b)** County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_  
*(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)*

**(c)** Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Kevin Console, Esq., Console Mattiacci Law,  
 1525 Locust Street, 9th Fl., Philadelphia, PA 19102  
 215-545-7676

**DEFENDANTS**

 PREMIER PROPERTIES AND MANAGEMENT  
 ASSOCIATES, LLC, *et al.*

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant \_\_\_\_\_  
*(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)* Philadelphia

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF  
 THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question <i>(U.S. Government Not a Party)</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity <i>(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)</i>

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES** (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF	PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b>	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157
<input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability		<b>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument	<input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	<input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability		<input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights
<input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	<input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine Product Liability		<input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent
<input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle	<input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application
<input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	<input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations	<input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark
<input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	<input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Other Fraud	<input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016
<input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits		<input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending	<input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	
<input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract		<input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage	<input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation	<b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability		<input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage	<input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff)
<input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise		<input type="checkbox"/> 395 Product Liability		<input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923)
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		<input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<b>Habeas Corpus:</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI
<input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure	<input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee		<input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))
<input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		<b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land	<input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/> 530 General		<input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
<input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty		<input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609
<input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	<b>Other:</b>		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition		
		<input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		

**V. ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File
---	---	--	---	--	--	---

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

42 U.S.C. §1981

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Brief description of cause:

Plaintiff was discriminated and retaliated against based on her race in violation of federal law.

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION  
 UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

**DEMAND \$**

In excess of \$75,000

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

**JURY DEMAND:**  Yes  No

**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See instructions):

JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

DATE

11/15/2023

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

**DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: \_\_\_\_\_ Glassboro, NJ 08028

Address of Defendant: \_\_\_\_\_ 6100 City Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19131

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: \_\_\_\_\_ Philadelphia, PA

**RELATED CASE, IF ANY:**

Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge: \_\_\_\_\_ Date Terminated: \_\_\_\_\_

Civil cases are deemed related when **Yes** is answered to any of the following questions:

1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case  is /  is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 11/15/2023



317235

Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)

**CIVIL: (Place a ✓ in one category only)**

**A. Federal Question Cases:**

- 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts
- 2. FELA
- 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury
- 4. Antitrust
- 5. Patent
- 6. Labor-Management Relations
- 7. Civil Rights
- 8. Habeas Corpus
- 9. Securities Act(s) Cases
- 10. Social Security Review Cases
- 11. All other Federal Question Cases  
(Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:**

- 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
- 2. Airplane Personal Injury
- 3. Assault, Defamation
- 4. Marine Personal Injury
- 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
- 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_
- 7. Products Liability
- 8. Products Liability – Asbestos
- 9. All other Diversity Cases  
(Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_

I, Kevin Console, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:

Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: 11/15/2023

  
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

317235

Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

SAMANTHA REASONER	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
PREMIER PROPERTIES AND MANAGEMENT	:	NO.
ASSOCIATES, LLC, et al.		

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

**SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:**

- (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ( )
- (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ( )
- (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ( )
- (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ( )
- (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ( )
- (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (X)

11/15/2023		Plaintiff, Samantha Reasoner
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for
215-545-7676	215-689-4137	Kevinconsole@consolelaw.com
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

SAMANTHA REASONER  
Glassboro, NJ 08028

CIVIL ACTION NO.:

Plaintiff,  
v.  
PREMIER PROPERTIES AND  
MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES, LLC;  
THE PREMIER @ CITY LINE; and PACL  
HOLDINGS, LLC  
6100 City Avenue  
Philadelphia, PA 19131

**COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL  
DEMAND**

Defendants.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiff, Samantha Reasoner (“Plaintiff”), brings claims against her former employers, Premier Properties and Management Associates, LLC; The Premier @ City Line; and PACL Holdings, LLC (collectively, “Defendants”).

Defendants subjected Plaintiff to unlawful retaliation because of her complaints of race discrimination, including, without limitation, subjecting her to a hostile work environment culminating in the termination of her employment.

Defendants’ retaliatory conduct is in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1866, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §1981 (“Section 1981”).

Plaintiff seeks all appropriate relief, including back-pay, front-pay, compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys’ fees and costs, and any other available statutory relief that this Court deems appropriate.

## **PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff, Samantha Reasoner, is an individual and a citizen of the state of New Jersey.
2. Defendant, Premier Properties and Management Associates, LLC, is incorporated in New Jersey and has a principal place of business at 6100 City Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19131.
3. Defendant, The Premier @ City Line, is incorporated in Pennsylvania and has a principal place of business at 6100 City Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19131.
4. Defendant, PACL Holdings, LLC, is incorporated in New Jersey and has principal places of business at 6100 City Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19131 and 6069 Drexel Road, #71, Philadelphia, PA 19131.
5. Defendants are engaged in an industry affecting interstate commerce and regularly do business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
6. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff worked out of Defendants' location at 6100 City Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19131.
7. At all times material hereto, Defendants acted by and through their authorized agents, servants, workmen, and/or employees acting within the course and scope of their employment with Defendants and in furtherance of Defendants' business.
8. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff, and exercised shared control and responsibility over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment.
9. At all times material hereto, Defendants acted as employers within the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.
10. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants within the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.

**III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

11. The cause of action which forms the basis of this matter arises under Section 1981.
12. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count I (Section 1981) pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1981, 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
13. Venue is proper in the District Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e)-5(f).

**IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

14. Plaintiff began working at Defendants on or about February 3, 2021.
15. Plaintiff held the position of Leasing Consultant.
16. Plaintiff consistently performed her job duties in a highly competent manner and received positive feedback.
17. Plaintiff last reported to Jennyfer Raudez, Property Manager.
18. Raudez reported to Judy Litman, Director of Operations.
19. Litman reported to Jack Adler, Chief Executive Officer.
20. On or about March 1, 2021, during a meeting with Angelica Ballard, Assistant Property Manager, Raudez and Plaintiff, Raudez made race discriminatory remarks including repeatedly using the word “nigger.”
21. Plaintiff complained to Litman about Raudez’s race discriminatory comments.
22. Plaintiff recommended to Litman that Defendants provide sensitivity training in order to help prevent further racist comments in the workplace.
23. Litman was dismissive of Plaintiff’s complaints and her recommendation for training.
24. In response to Plaintiff’s complaints, Litman stressed to Plaintiff that Raudez was

a good property manager, which Plaintiff understood to be an indication that Raudez's racist behavior would be tolerated by Defendants because she was viewed as a good performer.

25. Litman projected a sense of frustration and inconvenience in response to Plaintiff bringing this complaint of race discrimination to her attention.

26. Ballard also complained about Raudez's comments.

27. Defendants failed to investigate Plaintiff's complaints of race discrimination.

28. Defendants failed to take prompt or appropriate corrective or remedial measures in response to Plaintiff's complaints.

29. Following Plaintiff's race discrimination complaints, Defendants treated her differently and worse, and in a more hostile and dismissive manner, than non-complaining employees were treated.

30. Following Plaintiff's protected activity, she was ostracized, ignored and demeaned.

31. Plaintiff was left out of meetings that she had been invited to attend prior to her protected activity.

32. Plaintiff was instructed not to contact future residents that she had been working with prior to her protected activity.

33. On May 6, 2021, Plaintiff was terminated, effective immediately.

34. Plaintiff was informed of her termination by Raudez – the same person Plaintiff had just recently complained about engaging in race discrimination.

35. The stated reason for Plaintiff's termination was that Plaintiff was creating a hostile work environment.

36. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with any explanation, details or examples of how Plaintiff had in any way created a hostile work environment.

37. Plaintiff understood Defendants' stated reason to be a reference to her complaints of race discrimination.

38. Defendants' stated reason is false and pretextual.

39. Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment because of her race discrimination complaints.

40. On May 6, 2021, Defendants also terminated Ballard.

41. Ballard and Plaintiff were the only employees reporting to Raudez who were terminated effective May 6, 2021.

42. Ballard and Plaintiff were the only employees reporting to Raudez that had complained of race discrimination.

43. Upon information and belief, Raudez remains employed with Defendants.

44. Plaintiff had no opportunity to remain employed with Defendants.

45. Before complaining of race discrimination, Plaintiff had no performance or disciplinary issues.

46. Before complaining of race discrimination, Plaintiff was generally treated fairly and received positive performance feedback.

47. Defendants did not follow any progressive discipline policy before terminating Plaintiff's employment.

48. Defendants retained and did not terminate non-complaining employees.

49. Defendants subjected Plaintiff to a hostile work environment because of her race discrimination complaints.

50. Defendants assigned Plaintiff's job duties and responsibilities to non-complaining employees.

51. Plaintiff was more qualified to perform her job duties and responsibilities than the non-complaining employees to whom they were assigned.

52. Defendants did not take any action to remedy or prevent the retaliation to which Plaintiff was subjected.

53. Defendants failed to take steps to protect Plaintiff from retaliation following her complaints of discrimination.

54. Defendants' retaliatory conduct toward Plaintiff has caused her emotional distress.

55. Defendants' comments and conduct evidence a bias against employees who engage in protected activity, including a pattern and practice of retaliating against employees who express concern about discrimination in the workplace.

56. Plaintiff's complaints about, and expressed opposition to, Defendants' race discriminatory conduct was and is a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendants' retaliatory treatment of Plaintiff, including, without limitation, subjecting her to a hostile work environment and terminating her employment.

57. Defendants failed to prevent or address the retaliatory conduct referred to herein and further failed to take corrective and remedial measures to make the workplace free of retaliatory conduct.

58. The retaliatory conduct, as alleged herein, was severe and pervasive enough to make a reasonable person believe that the conditions of employment had been altered and that a hostile work environment existed, and made Plaintiff believe that the conditions of employment had been altered and that a hostile work environment existed.

59. The retaliatory actions taken against Plaintiff after she complained of discriminatory conduct would have discouraged a reasonable employee from complaining of

discrimination.

60. As a direct and proximate result of the retaliatory conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.

61. Defendants acted with malice, reckless indifference, and/or deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's protected rights.

#### **COUNT I – SECTION 1981**

62. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as set forth herein in their entirety.

63. By committing the foregoing acts of retaliation against Plaintiff, Defendants have violated Section 1981.

64. Defendants acted willfully and/or intentionally with malice and/or reckless indifference to Plaintiff's federally protected rights, and Defendants' conduct was especially egregious, warranting the imposition of punitive damages.

65. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of Section 1981, Plaintiff has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.

66. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendants' retaliatory and unlawful acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

67. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

**RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants:

- (a) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of Section 1981;
- (b) entering judgment against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff in an amount to be determined;
- (c) enjoining and restraining permanently the violations alleged herein;
- (d) awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff to make Plaintiff whole for all past and future lost earnings, benefits, and earning capacity, which Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer as a result of Defendants' retaliatory and unlawful misconduct;
- (e) awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff for past and future emotional upset, mental anguish, humiliation, loss of life's pleasures, and pain and suffering;
- (f) awarding Plaintiff costs of this action, together with reasonable attorneys' fees;
- (g) awarding punitive damages to Plaintiff;
- (h) awarding Plaintiff such other damages as are appropriate under Section 1981; and
- (i) granting such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

**CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW, LLC**

Dated: November 15, 2023

BY: /s/ Kevin Console  
Kevin Console, Esquire  
CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW LLC  
1525 Locust Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
Telephone: (215) 545-7676

*Attorneys for Plaintiff, Samantha Reasoner*